

From: [Vankeerbergen, Bernadette](mailto:Vankeerbergen.Bernadette)
To: ["Cope, Virginia"](mailto:Cope.Virginia)
Cc: [Hanlin, Debbie](mailto:Hanlin,Debbie)
Subject: RE: ASC 2798.04
Date: Thursday, October 31, 2013 11:47:35 AM

Virginia,

Steven Fink has provided feedback on your resubmission. He thinks the revisions satisfactorily address the contingency. The course needs to be titled "Introduction to Quebec" (not "Introduction to Canada"). Indeed, other Canadian trips would be different in so many ways that they would need to be submitted for approval under different numbers (e.g., 2798.05, .06 etc).

Could you please send the revised syllabus with the Quebec title to our administrative associate, Debbie Hanlin (here cc'd). She will upload this revised syllabus (and delete the old one) in curriculum.osu.edu.

Also the form in curriculum.osu.edu will need to be revised to reflect the focus on Quebec (rather than Montreal). She will work with you to obtain revised language for the following fields:

- Course title
- Transcript abbreviation
- Course description
- Course goals or learning objectives/outcomes
- Content topic list

All the best,
Bernadette

Bernadette Vankeerbergen, Ph.D.
Program Manager, Curriculum and Assessment
Arts and Sciences
The Ohio State University
154D Denney Hall
164 W 17th Ave.
Columbus, OH 43210
Phone: 614-688-5679
Fax: 614-292-6303
<http://ascas.osu.edu>

-----Original Message-----

From: Cope, Virginia [<mailto:cope.38@osu.edu>]
Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 4:51 PM
To: Vankeerbergen, Bernadette
Cc: Fink, Steven; Hanlin, Debbie
Subject: RE: ASC 2798.04

Attached please find a revised syllabus. The faculty involved recast the description of the course to emphasize Quebec and added another day trip (to Ottawa). They also asked if they course could be given a generic name (Introduction to Canada) and taught in different iterations later (Introduction to Canada (Toronto) for example). Would each of those iterations require a new course approval process?

We gave it the title of Introduction to Canada but Introduction to Quebec would be more precise, if the committee prefers.

Do let me know if this fulfills the contingency or if further changes are needed. Dr Cabral did say he plans to put the committee's list of ecommendations into place as well.

Virginia H. Cope
Assistant Dean, Newark
Associate Professor, English
The Ohio State University
1179 University Avenue
Warner 245
cope.38@osu.edu
740-366-9293

From: Vankeerbergen, Bernadette [Vankeerbergen.1@osu.edu]
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Cope, Virginia
Cc: Fink, Steven; Hanlin, Debbie
Subject: ASC 2798.04

Dear Virginia,

On Thursday, October 17, the GE Education Abroad Ad Hoc Panel reviewed a new course proposal for ASC 2798.04.

The course was approved with 1 contingency and 4 recommendations:
Contingency:

- * Define more clearly which region/culture is the focus of this course: is course about Canada, French Canada, or Montreal? The title and course description focus on Montreal, but many times in the syllabus the focus shifts to Canada as a whole: for example, pp. 1-2 first and fifth specific goals and learning outcomes refer to Canada; research topic on p. 3 about the modern educational system in Toronto; choice of James' Canada and Conflict: A Hard-hitting look at Canadian security post-9/11, from the Afghanistan war to US relations and Arctic sovereignty. (Please look at whole proposal, including the GE assessment plan, for other instances where Canada becomes focus of course.) Related to that is the fact that 2 days (25% of the trip) are actually not spent in Montreal but in Quebec City.

Recommendations:

- * On 2 days, students are on their own (May 19 and 21). It might be good to somehow point out to students (in syllabus?) that this time will help them with their reflection assignments. Make sure that students don't use that time to write their research paper. Faculty member might specify suggested activities (that might be helpful for the students' ultimate reflection papers or their diaries/blogs).
- * Might be good idea to switch the due dates for the research paper and the reflection paper. The latter might be due first, upon return from Montreal (on May 30 instead of the research paper; or is it May 31 as indicated on p. 3 of the syllabus?). The research paper (which requires more finalizing) would then be due on June 9.
- * Would be good idea to distribute prompts to students for organized tours/visits. That way, students would know the rationale for selecting those particular tours/visits and would understand better what to focus their attention on during the tours/visits.
- * Journal entries: might faculty consider asking for one entry per week when in Newark and one per day while abroad?

The attached document is the proposal that was reviewed by the Panel. At your convenience, please send us the revised version via e-mail.

Should you have any questions about this feedback, please do not hesitate to contact Steven Fink, Chair of the GE Education Abroad Ad Hoc Panel (cc'd on this e-mail), or me.

Best,

Bernadette

Bernadette Vankeerbergen, Ph.D.

Program Manager, Curriculum and Assessment Arts and Sciences The Ohio State University 154D Denney Hall
164 W 17th Ave.

Columbus, OH 43210

Phone: 614-688-5679

Fax: 614-292-6303

<http://ascas.osu.edu><<http://ascas.osu.edu/>>